

disease by scintigraphy. Vasodilator infusion has already improved scintigraphy results in those unable to exercise well.

ROBERT W. HENDERSON, MD
Pasadena, California

REFERENCES

- Beller GA: Pharmacologic stress imaging. *JAMA* 1991; 265:633-638
- Liu P: Technetium-99m-sestamibi: Another window on myocardial viability? *J Nucl Med* 1991; 32:298-299
- Wackers FJ, Berman DS, Maddahi J, et al: Technetium-99m hexakis 2-methoxyisobutyl isonitrile: Human biodistribution, dosimetry, safety, and preliminary comparison to thallium-201 for myocardial perfusion imaging. *J Nucl Med* 1989; 30:301-311

The Simplicity and Safety of Radiologically Placed Gastric Tubes

ENDOSCOPISTS AND, MORE RECENTLY, radiologists have shown that successful gastric intubation, once strictly a surgical procedure, can be done outside the operating room without an incision.

The procedure is done with a fluoroscope while the patient is fully awake. The stomach is inflated with air via a nasogastric tube, which pushes the transverse colon away from the abdominal wall. An appropriate site on the skin surface is prepared and then anesthetized with local anesthesia. For patients in whom a nasogastric tube cannot be passed, the stomach can be inflated through a skinny needle placed percutaneously into the stomach bubble. Under fluoroscopic guidance, a needle is inserted into the gastric lumen through which a guide wire is placed. The needle is removed and the tract is dilated to the desired width (usually 12 F to 16 F). A feeding tube, which usually has some form of anchor, such as a self-retaining pigtail loop, is then inserted. Some prefer to secure the stomach to the abdominal wall using

small T-anchors introduced through separate needle punctures, but we have found that unnecessary.

An advantage of radiologic guidance is that ultrasound can be used to locate the spleen or liver should they be near the proposed entry site, and the colon can be quickly filled with air or contrast material if it is difficult to see with the fluoroscope. If or when a jejunal tube is needed, then the G-tube is readily replaced with a longer tube that is fluoroscopically guided into the small bowel. After bowel sounds return, usually within 24 hours, the tube is then ready to be used for feedings. The procedure usually takes under 30 minutes to do, and, if the tube should stop working after the tract matures—usually by two to four weeks—it is easily exchanged in a few minutes over a guide wire on an outpatient basis.

Because of the distended stomach, air can escape into the peritoneal cavity, which is rarely, if ever, of any clinical consequence. Morbidity and mortality data show that fluoroscopically directed feeding tubes can be placed with the same, and perhaps fewer, complications than surgically or endoscopically placed tubes. That, with the added benefits of no anesthesia or operating room charges, makes the radiologically placed feeding tube an attractive alternative for patients in need of enteral nutrition.

JEFFREY C. BRANDON, MD
LARRY-STUART DEUTSCH, MD, CM
LANE KANNegieter, MD
DAMON P. MILLER III, MD
Orange, California

REFERENCES

- Halkier BK, Ho CS, Yee AC: Percutaneous feeding gastrostomy with the Seldinger technique: Review of 252 patients. *Radiology* 1989; 171:359-362
- Hicks ME, Surratt RS, Picus D, Marx MV, Lang EV: Fluoroscopically guided percutaneous gastrostomy and gastroenterostomy: Analysis of 158 consecutive cases. *AJR* 1990; 154:725-728
- O'Keeffe F, Carrasco CH, Charnsangavej C, Richli WR, Wallace S, Freedman RS: Percutaneous drainage and feeding gastrostomies in 100 patients. *Radiology* 1989; 172:341-343

ADVISORY PANEL TO THE SECTION ON RADIOLOGY

CHARLES A. GOODING, MD

Advisory Panel Chair

*CMA Council on Scientific Affairs Representative
San Francisco*

RICHARD S. BREIMAN, MD
*CMA Section Chair
Oakland*

EDWARD I. MILLER, MD
*CMA Section Secretary
Newport Beach*

K. M. TAN, MD
*CMA Section Assistant Secretary
Richmond*

ANTON N. HASSO, MD
Loma Linda University

GARY GLAZER, MD
Stanford University

RICHARD KATZBERG, MD
University of California, Davis

RICHARD M. FRIEDENBERG, MD
University of California, Irvine

HOOSHANG KANGARLOO, MD
*Section Editor
University of California, Los Angeles*

GEORGE LEOPOLD, MD
University of California, San Diego

RONALD L. ARENSON, MD
University of California, San Francisco

JAMES HALLS, MD
University of Southern California

THOMAS G. GOERGEN, MD
*California Radiological Society
Rancho Santa Fe*

JAY C. MALL, MD
*California Radiological Society
San Francisco*

PAUL S. SCHULMAN, MD
*California Radiological Society
Chula Vista*

CHRISTOPHER M. ROSE, MD
*California Radiation Oncology Association
Burbank*

JOHN TO
*Medical Student Representative
University of Southern California*